


It is assumed there is an additional use case of system administration & configuration management for each tier (not depicted).

Also, there are some other minor use-cases not depicted here.




This unit of work requires that the stakeholder apply to the proper authorities to determine the legitimacy of the stakeholder's stake in the information disclosed by a primary custodian or disclosed under a certain "problem space". If the claim of stake is determined to be legitimate, the Directory Administrator may then define/redefine the stakeholder's directory entry.

This diagram shows excerpts.


How to achieve policy relevance or "how to get your policy into the rulesheet deck". Please read top-down for sequential ordering of units of work.

Additionally, the author must choose the proper associations between the rulesheet being managed and either or both of the primary custodian of interest and the problem space of interest. Without doing so, the stakeholder's policy might not be found when the Gatepoint goes looking for relevant policies through "get rulesheet".



This diagram shows excerpts.


How to get a Gatepoint to use the Stakeholder Directory containing my stakeholder entry.



This diagram shows excerpts.

How to use 3rd party developed propositional arguments in my rulesheet.

The reader should see that it's entirely possible for a rulesheet author to be aware of availability for 3rd party propositional argument implementation and that a Gatepoint might not be configured to make such an external call. This would result in a run-time exclusion of the rulesheet from the deck.



This diagram shows excerpts.

How to create a semantic or typedef registry entry and how to utilize such new entries.